



Australian Reddit Political Discussion Report: 2025 Federal Election Campaign

Version: 1.0.0

Mat Bettinson

Report compiled by the Digital
Observatory at Queensland
University of Technology

Australia Digital Observatory

✉ digitalobservatory@qut.edu.au

1 Reddit Political Discussion Report: 2025 Australian Federal Election Campaign (Week 5)

This report synthesizes political discussions among Australian Reddit users during the 2025 Federal Election campaign, drawing from thousands of submissions and comments across 20 politically active subreddits. The analysis is based on summaries categorized by key political themes, ordered by prominence based on the volume of discussion (indicated by the number of contributing summaries).

2 Political Theme Issue Summary for: OTHER (32% of total)

Discussions surrounding the 2025 Australian Federal Election, outside specific policy categories, revealed significant public frustration and cynicism regarding the political process and participants. A major focus was the nature and perceived inadequacy of campaigning, with widespread criticism directed at negative advertising, the perceived lack of policy substance from major parties, and the late release of crucial information like costings. Campaign tactics such as unsolicited text messages (spam), excessive signage (corflutes), and stunts like politicising ANZAC Day were frequently condemned as annoying, wasteful, and disrespectful. There was intense scrutiny of political leadership, particularly Opposition Leader Peter Dutton, whose campaign strategy, perceived shift towards 'culture war' issues, and leadership style drew frequent comparisons to Donald Trump and widespread criticism for being out of touch with the Australian electorate. Concerns about political integrity and transparency were pervasive, encompassing debates over the influence of political donations and lobbying, the effectiveness of disclosure rules (highlighted by Dutton's failure to declare a trust interest), candidate vetting processes, and the treatment of whistleblowers. The role and perceived bias of the media were heavily debated, with recurring clashes over the impartiality of outlets like the ABC and The Guardian versus News Corp publications, and Dutton's labelling of critical outlets as 'hate media' drawing condemnation, as one user noted:

"He's resorted to calling the national broadcaster "hate media"? That's a new low, even for Dutton."

The mechanics of the Australian electoral system, particularly preferential voting, were extensively discussed, including strategies for preference allocation, the accuracy of polling, the trend towards early voting, and the cultural significance of election day traditions like the 'democracy sausage'. There was considerable focus on the role and impact of minor parties (Greens, One Nation, Palmer's party) and independents (Teals), analysing their strategies, influence on preference flows, and potential role in minority government scenarios. Broader societal and cultural discussions also surfaced, including debates over the appropriateness and frequency of Welcome to Country ceremonies, concerns about increasing political polarisation and declining civility in public discourse, and general voter disillusionment with the major parties and the two-party system, leading many to consider alternatives or express fatigue with the political landscape.

2.1 Main Themes:

- **Political Culture and Discourse** (88 submissions): Concerns about increasing political polarisation, declining civility, 'culture war' tactics, and the general tone of public debate.
- **Role of Minor Parties and Independents** (71 submissions): Analysis of the influence, strategies, and potential impact of Greens, Teals, One Nation, and others on election outcomes and potential minority governments.

- **Voting System, Strategy, and Voter Sentiment** (63 submissions): Discussions on preferential voting mechanics, how-to-vote strategies, polling accuracy, early voting trends, and general voter apathy or engagement.
- **Campaign Advertising and Tactics** (42 submissions): Criticism of negative ads, political spam (texts/emails), excessive signage (corflutes), campaign stunts, and perceived lack of substantive policy debate.
- **Leadership Scrutiny (Peter Dutton focus)** (37 submissions): Intense focus on Peter Dutton's leadership style, campaign strategy, perceived 'Trumpian' tactics, and suitability for the Prime Ministership.
- **Media Influence and Attacks** (31 submissions): Debates about media bias (ABC vs. News Corp), the role of media in shaping political narratives, and politicians' attacks on media outlets.
- **Political Integrity and Transparency** (27 submissions): Concerns regarding political donations, lobbying influence, disclosure rules (e.g., Dutton's trust), candidate vetting, and whistleblower treatment.

3 Political Theme Issue Summary for: Economic Policy (18% of total)

Discussions surrounding the 2025 Australian Federal Election heavily feature economic policy, dominated by the pervasive cost of living crisis. Commenters frequently express frustration with rising prices for essentials like groceries (symbolized by egg prices), energy bills, and housing, debating the causes including global factors, historical government actions (like wage suppression), current government spending, corporate profiteering (particularly by supermarkets), and the impact of immigration on demand and wages. There's significant debate over which major party holds a better record or plan for economic management, with skepticism directed towards historical claims of Coalition competence and scrutiny of Labor's current performance regarding inflation, wage growth, and budget deficits. Tax reform is a major battleground, encompassing debates on income tax cuts (Stage 3 adjustments), the fairness and economic impact of property-related concessions like negative gearing and capital gains discounts, proposed changes to superannuation taxes (particularly the \$3m threshold), corporate and multinational taxation levels (including resource rent taxes), the future of fuel excise versus road user charges for EVs, and potential changes to GST or the implementation of land taxes. As one user put it regarding tax fairness:

"All these little rorts where tax payer dollars go to wealthy people are what needs reigning in to properly fund health and dental care for example."

Government spending and efficiency are also key concerns, particularly the extensive use and cost of external consultants versus investing in public service capabilities, alongside debates about budget deficits, national debt levels, the transparency of political costings (utilizing the PBO), and competing funding priorities like defence spending versus social services (healthcare, welfare, education) or infrastructure projects like stadiums. Economic regulation debates focus strongly on the need for action against perceived anti-competitive behaviour and price gouging by the supermarket duopoly, as well as regulations concerning vaping, resource extraction, and intellectual property. Housing affordability is discussed through an economic lens, linking high prices to investment incentives, tax policies, inflation, and supply/demand dynamics influenced by factors like immigration. The affordability and funding of public transport systems are also raised as cost of living and infrastructure issues. Proposals for student debt relief and Universal Basic Income also feature in economic policy discussions.

3.1 Main Themes:

- **Tax Reform Debates** (47 submissions): Discussions on Stage 3 tax cuts, negative gearing, capital gains discounts, superannuation taxes, corporate tax, resource rent tax, fuel excise vs. road user charges, GST, and land tax.
- **Cost of Living Pressures** (35 submissions): Focus on rising prices for groceries, energy, housing, and essentials; debates on causes (inflation, wages, profits, global factors, immigration).
- **Government Spending, Debt, and Budget Management** (31 submissions): Concerns about budget deficits, national debt, government waste (e.g., consultant spending vs. public service), funding priorities (defence vs. social services), and PBO costings.
- **Economic Regulation and Competition** (18 submissions): Calls for action against super-market duopoly (price gouging, anti-competitive behaviour), vaping regulations, resource extraction rules, and IP laws.
- **Housing Affordability (Economic Aspects)** (13 submissions): Linking housing costs to investment incentives, tax policies, inflation, interest rates, and supply/demand dynamics.
- **Public Transport Economics** (7 submissions): Debates on the cost, funding, and affordability of public transport systems as a cost-of-living issue.

4 Political Theme Issue Summary for: Housing & Infrastructure (12% of total)

Discussions consistently revolved around the severe housing crisis in Australia, encompassing both unaffordability for potential buyers and extreme pressure on the rental market. A central debate focused on the primary drivers: insufficient housing supply versus excessive demand. Supply issues were attributed to slow planning approvals, restrictive zoning laws, land availability constraints (including NIMBYism and land banking), high construction costs, labour shortages in trades, and a perceived lack of government will or effective policy. Demand-side factors frequently highlighted included high levels of immigration significantly outpacing housing construction, the role of property investors incentivised by tax policies like negative gearing and capital gains tax discounts, and historically low interest rates (though recent rate rises add complexity). Many contributors expressed skepticism towards the commitment of major political parties (Labor and Liberal) to genuinely tackle affordability, suggesting vested interests among politicians and homeowners prevent policies that might lower prices. Proposed solutions were varied, ranging from large-scale government intervention through mass building programs and public housing initiatives (sometimes citing Singapore or past Australian efforts), to market-based approaches like deregulation, streamlining approvals, and incentivising private construction. Significant attention was paid to rental market issues, including calls for rent controls or caps, stronger tenant protections against unfair evictions and rent hikes, minimum standards for rental properties, and regulating short-term rentals like Airbnb. The difficulty renters face was highlighted:

"It's extremely easy for a landlord to say they are moving back in at the end of an existing lease. All the tenancy laws in the world don't change that there is a serious lack of supply in the ACT and the very real scenario of not being able to find another rental is a significant deterrent to fighting rent increases."

The Greens' role in negotiating housing legislation, particularly the Housing Australia Future Fund (HAFF), was frequently debated, viewed either as securing necessary concessions for social housing or as obstructionist political maneuvering. Immigration policy was consistently linked to housing pressure, with many advocating for reduced intake to alleviate demand on housing

and infrastructure. Infrastructure discussions extended beyond housing-specific needs, covering projects like the NBN, Inland Rail, Metronet, airport expansions, and stadium developments, often debating funding priorities, project management effectiveness (citing cost blowouts and delays), and the concept of induced demand for roads. The broader cost of living crisis was often framed through the lens of housing costs, impacting renters, mortgage holders, and particularly younger generations facing barriers to secure housing.

4.1 Main Themes:

- **Housing Affordability & Supply Crisis** (54 submissions): Debates on causes (supply constraints vs. demand drivers like immigration, investment, low rates) and potential solutions.
- **Rental Market Protection & Tenant Rights** (35 submissions): Calls for rent controls/caps, stronger protections against eviction/rent hikes, minimum standards, and regulation of short-term rentals (Airbnb).
- **Immigration Policy & Housing/Infrastructure Pressure** (26 submissions): Strong focus on the impact of migration levels on housing demand, infrastructure strain, and calls for reduced intake.
- **Government Intervention in Housing (Construction/Policy)** (24 submissions): Discussions on potential large-scale government building programs, public housing initiatives, and policy levers like tax reform (negative gearing).
- **Infrastructure Projects & Priorities** (17 submissions): Debates over funding, management, and necessity of major projects (NBN, rail, airports, stadiums) versus other priorities.
- **Construction Industry Constraints** (12 submissions): Highlighting issues like labour shortages, high material costs, and regulatory hurdles impacting housing supply.
- **Foreign Investment Regulation** (4 submissions): Proposals to limit foreign ownership of residential property to ease demand pressure.

5 Political Theme Issue Summary for: National Security & Immigration (11% of total)

Discussions surrounding the 2025 Australian Federal Election frequently centred on national security and immigration, encompassing migration management, border security, and community safety. A dominant theme was the impact of migration levels on housing affordability, infrastructure strain, wages, and job competition, with many commenters advocating for reduced intake and questioning the policies of major parties. The economic consequences of migration, including debates about GDP per capita versus total GDP growth and the specific contributions of skilled versus temporary migrants, were also heavily debated. Strong anti-immigration sentiment surfaced regularly, focusing on cultural integration, perceived changes to national identity, and negative stereotypes associated with certain migrant groups. National security discussions often revolved around the AUKUS agreement and the significant financial commitment to nuclear submarines, prompting debates about strategic necessity, feasibility, opportunity cost compared to social spending, and Australia's reliance on allies like the US, particularly given potential geopolitical shifts. The cost was a major sticking point:

"Both major parties want to spend \$368bn on Submarines that we will get some time in the nether nether (if at all) and yet ordinary Australians are struggling to buy food."

The adequacy of overall defence spending, the state of the ADF, and the need for sovereign manufacturing capabilities were also key points of discussion, alongside considerations of non-traditional threats like cyberattacks and supply chain disruption. Community safety concerns were

prominent, focusing on perceived increases in crime, particularly youth crime, domestic violence, and anti-social behaviour linked to drug use or mental health issues. The effectiveness of the justice system, especially bail laws for repeat offenders and sentencing adequacy, faced intense criticism. Calls for stricter penalties, better policing, and addressing root causes of crime were common, alongside debates about the effectiveness and appropriateness of specific policing tactics like drug detection dogs and the role of social media in amplifying crime concerns.

5.1 Main Themes:

- **Impact of Migration Levels on Housing, Economy, and Infrastructure** (26 submissions): Strong focus on perceived negative impacts of high migration on housing, infrastructure, wages, and calls for reduction.
- **AUKUS Agreement and Defence Spending Concerns** (14 submissions): Debates on the cost, strategic necessity, feasibility, opportunity cost, and geopolitical implications of the nuclear submarine deal.
- **Criticism of Justice System, Bail Laws, and Sentencing (Community Safety)** (14 submissions): Frustration with perceived leniency, effectiveness of bail laws for repeat offenders, and adequacy of sentencing, particularly for youth crime.
- **Anti-Immigration Sentiment and Cultural Impact Concerns** (10 submissions): Expressions of concern about cultural integration, national identity, and negative stereotypes associated with migration.
- **Border Security Measures and Political Framing** (6 submissions): Discussion of policies related to border control, asylum seekers, and the political use of “boat people” rhetoric.

6 Political Theme Issue Summary for: Climate & Energy (8% of total)

Discussions surrounding the 2025 Australian Federal Election frequently addressed climate and energy policy, marked by intense debate over the nation's future energy mix and the costs associated with different paths. A dominant topic was the Coalition's proposal for nuclear power, which faced widespread skepticism regarding its immense projected costs, lengthy timelines, and overall feasibility in the Australian context. Many viewed the proposal not as a serious energy solution but as a political tactic to delay renewable energy investment and prolong the use of fossil fuels, particularly coal and gas, raising questions about costings, technology types, water usage, and accounting methods. The feasibility argument was common:

“It's mostly that it's a dumb idea because it's expensive and slow and almost guaranteed to be slower and more expensive than estimates.”

Conversely, renewable energy sources like solar, wind, hydro, and battery storage were central to discussions, often framed as the cheaper, faster, and more viable path for decarbonisation. Targets like 82% or 100% renewables, initiatives like Future Made in Australia, and grid transformation efforts were discussed alongside challenges related to grid integration, intermittency, storage, transmission, and land use. The ongoing role and future of fossil fuels remained contentious, with major parties facing criticism for perceived ties to the coal and gas industries, continued project approvals despite climate goals, and the influence of industry lobbying. The intersection of energy policy with the cost of living was a critical concern, with debates on whether renewables, nuclear, or fossil fuels would lead to higher or lower household bills, the impact of global energy prices, and the effects on manufacturing competitiveness. Environmental conservation issues, such as habitat loss impacting species like koalas, water resource management, and pollution,

surfaced, often linked to critiques of government policy and industry practices. Finally, the political dimension was pervasive, with historical policy debates revisited, the adequacy of current climate targets questioned, and concerns raised that climate change wasn't receiving sufficient attention compared to immediate economic pressures, despite scientific warnings.

6.1 Main Themes:

- **Renewable Energy Transition: Targets, Technologies, and Grid Integration** (30 submissions): Focus on solar, wind, batteries, hydro; debates on targets (82%/100%), grid stability, storage, transmission, and land use.
- **Climate Policy Politics, Historical Debates, and Urgency** (29 submissions): Discussion of historical policy failures ('climate wars'), adequacy of current targets, party positioning, and perceived lack of urgency.
- **Nuclear Power Debate: Cost, Feasibility, and Political Motivations** (26 submissions): Skepticism about Coalition's nuclear proposal regarding cost, timeline, feasibility, waste, and perceived political motives (delaying renewables).
- **Fossil Fuel Future and Industry Influence** (24 submissions): Debates on the continued role of coal and gas, new project approvals, government subsidies, and the influence of fossil fuel lobbying.
- **Energy Costs and Economic Impact on Households and Industry** (19 submissions): Concerns about the impact of energy policy choices (renewables vs. nuclear vs. fossil fuels) on electricity bills and manufacturing competitiveness.
- **Environmental Conservation: Habitat, Species, and Pollution Concerns** (10 submissions): Discussions on habitat loss (e.g., koalas), biodiversity, water management, pollution, and effectiveness of environmental regulations.

7 Political Theme Issue Summary for: Healthcare (4% of total)

Discussions surrounding the 2025 Australian Federal Election frequently highlighted significant concerns and debates about the healthcare system. A central issue was the state of Medicare, particularly the decline in bulk billing rates, leading to increased out-of-pocket costs for GP visits and reduced accessibility, especially in regional areas and for those facing financial hardship. This sparked intense debate over historical funding levels and responsibility, with accusations traded between Labor and Liberal supporters regarding past policies, such as the GP co-payment proposal, and their impact. Concerns were raised about potential future changes under different governments, including fears of attempts to undermine the universal system, contrasting with the defence of Medicare's value. The decline in bulk billing was a common frustration:

"GPs - went from heaps of bulk billing options to none. Now I pay upwards of \$100 for an appointment"

Alongside worries about existing services, there was strong interest in expanding Medicare's scope, most notably to include dental and mental health services. This was presented as a key policy initiative aimed at improving comprehensive care and affordability, often linked to the Greens' platform, although questions about funding mechanisms were also discussed. The accessibility of mental healthcare emerged as a specific pain point, with discussions covering the high cost and difficulty in finding specialists, as well as accessing newer treatments like ketamine or approved psychedelics. Broader systemic pressures were also evident in discussions about strained hospital systems, ambulance ramping due to lack of beds and staff, long wait times for specialists (forcing interstate travel for some), and difficulties retaining healthcare workers. The value and cost-

effectiveness of private health insurance, especially extras cover, were debated relative to the public system, with the Medicare Levy Surcharge often cited as a reason for maintaining cover despite questioning its benefits. Public health issues such as vaping regulation, drug harm minimisation strategies like pill testing, and the importance of vaccination programs also featured in the discourse.

7.1 Main Themes:

- **Medicare Funding and Bulk Billing Crisis** (8 submissions): Concerns over declining bulk billing rates, increased GP costs, accessibility issues, and debates over historical funding responsibility.
- **Medicare Expansion: Dental and Mental Health** (8 submissions): Strong support for including dental and mental health services under Medicare to improve affordability and comprehensive care.
- **Healthcare Accessibility and System Strain** (6 submissions): Discussions on hospital pressures, ambulance ramping, specialist wait times, regional access issues, and healthcare worker retention.
- **Mental Healthcare Access and Cost** (6 submissions): Focus on the high cost, long waits, and difficulty accessing psychiatrists and specialized mental health treatments.
- **Public vs. Private Healthcare Debate** (4 submissions): Debates on the value, cost, and effectiveness of private health insurance compared to the public Medicare system.

8 Political Theme Issue Summary for: Industry & Manufacturing (4% of total)

Discussions surrounding the 2025 Australian Federal Election reveal significant focus on the state and future of Australian industry and manufacturing. There's a strong desire expressed for boosting domestic production capabilities, often framed under "Made in Australia" initiatives, encompassing areas from defence technology and shipbuilding to value-added mineral processing, renewable energy components, and everyday consumer goods. Concerns exist about Australia's reliance on resource exports and the need for economic diversification, potentially towards a knowledge-based economy or expanded manufacturing. The transition away from traditional industries like fossil fuels and live animal exports towards sectors like renewable energy and onshore processing is a key point of debate, highlighting the need for government support, infrastructure investment, and managing employment shifts in affected regions, particularly regional Queensland and South Australia. Labour issues are prominent, including persistent skills shortages in trades crucial for construction and manufacturing, the high cost of labour often linked to union influence and competition from sectors like mining, perceived low productivity compared to international competitors, and the impact of migration on the low-skilled job market. Government policies, notably Labor's "Future Made in Australia" plan and the National Reconstruction Fund, are central to discussions about stimulating domestic industry, with support for initiatives like onshore processing:

"Yes, the Future Made in Australia plan. . . I really hope this comes to fruition, mineral processing would complement our mining industry and add so much more value before exporting a final (or even at worst, intermediary) product!"

However, the effectiveness and primary focus (e.g., defence vs. broader industry) of these policies are debated. The role of resource taxation in funding industrial development and managing national resources for broader economic benefit is also frequently discussed. Finally, there are

concerns about the quality and durability of both imported goods, especially from China, and domestically produced items, alongside the challenges Australian manufacturers face competing on cost with global producers.

8.1 Main Themes:

- **Boosting Domestic Manufacturing & “Made in Australia” Initiatives** (14 submissions): Strong desire for increased local production in defence, renewables, mineral processing, and consumer goods; discussion of government support plans.
- **Industry Transition & Economic Diversification** (11 submissions): Debates on moving away from fossil fuels/live exports towards renewables, onshore processing; managing job shifts and regional impacts.
- **Labour, Skills, and Production Costs** (8 submissions): Concerns about skills shortages (trades), high labour costs, productivity levels, and competition from imports/mining sector.
- **Quality Concerns and Import Competition** (3 submissions): Discussions on the durability of imported vs. local goods and challenges competing with low-cost overseas manufacturing.

9 Political Theme Issue Summary for: Education & Skills (3% of total)

Discussions surrounding education and skills policy for the 2025 Australian Federal Election reveal significant debate across tertiary, vocational, and school sectors. A major focus is on tertiary education affordability and access, with strong opinions expressed regarding policies like HECS/student debt relief or cancellation, the provision of Free TAFE courses, and the financial viability of pursuing higher education. The introduction, and subsequent proposed scrapping by the Coalition, of paid practical placements for students in essential fields like nursing and teaching has sparked considerable debate about placement poverty, workforce shortages, and fairness compared to other fields with unpaid placements. Concerns about the quality and funding of vocational training, particularly TAFE and apprenticeships, are linked to national skills shortages in trades crucial for industries like construction and manufacturing. The role and economic impact of international students are also contested, questioning whether they primarily represent an educational export or a migration pathway impacting the local job market and university funding models. In schooling, the disparity in funding between under-resourced public schools and better-funded private institutions according to the Schooling Resource Standard (SRS) is a key point of contention, with calls to redirect funding towards the public system. Furthermore, the content and direction of the national school curriculum have become politicised, with debates around alleged ‘indoctrination’, the inclusion of topics like climate science and Indigenous history, and proposed reforms framed as restoring ‘critical thinking’ versus ‘culture war’ tactics. The value of different educational pathways, such as university degrees versus TAFE qualifications and industry experience, is also discussed, alongside concerns about declining university standards and the accessibility of selective public schools.

9.1 Main Themes:

- **Tertiary Affordability & Access: HECS/Student Debt & Free TAFE** (7 submissions): Debates on student debt levels, proposed relief/cancellation policies (e.g., ALP’s 20% reduction), and the availability/effectiveness of Free TAFE.

- **Value, Pathways & Quality in Post-Secondary Education (Uni/TAFE/Experience)** (5 submissions): Discussions on the relative value of degrees vs. trades, university standards, and the economic role of international students.
- **Skills Shortages & Quality of Vocational/Trades Training** (4 submissions): Concerns about underfunding of TAFE/apprenticeships leading to shortages in crucial trades for construction and manufacturing.
- **National Curriculum Content & Political ‘Culture Wars’** (4 submissions): Politicised debates over curriculum content (climate, Indigenous history), alleged ‘indoctrination’, and reforms framed as ‘critical thinking’ vs. ‘culture wars’.
- **Public vs. Private School Funding Disparity** (2 submissions): Concerns about underfunding of public schools compared to private schools relative to the Schooling Resource Standard (SRS).
- **Financial Support for Mandatory Practical Placements** (2 submissions): Debate surrounding paid placements for essential workers (nursing, teaching) vs. unpaid placements in other fields, focusing on placement poverty and workforce implications.

10 Political Theme Issue Summary for: Social Services (3% of total)

Discussions frequently revolved around the adequacy and accessibility of social safety nets and support systems in Australia. There is significant concern regarding welfare payments, including JobSeeker, the Disability Support Pension, and the Age Pension, with many arguing they are insufficient to cover basic living costs, particularly housing. This financial pressure pushes individuals towards reliance on charities or leads to hardship and discrimination, especially in the rental market. The inadequacy of support like Rent Assistance was highlighted:

“I mean even just number 4 Raise rent allowance, to cover 50 percent of the rent cost directly right now that 172 dollars a fortnight is like what 2.3 days of rent..it's pointless”

The complexity, bureaucracy, and perceived failures of systems like Centrelink and the Child Support Agency were also common points of frustration. Concerns were raised about the management and expenditure of the NDIS, and its potential impact on funding for other essential services like Medicare. Childcare accessibility and affordability emerged as another critical social service issue. Discussions highlighted the high costs faced by families, contrasting sharply with the low wages often paid to childcare workers. The profitability of private childcare centres, sometimes linked to political figures, and the role of government subsidies in this model were questioned. Policies aimed at improving access, such as universal childcare support, were mentioned within this context. Worker protections, rights, and conditions constituted a major area of debate. Job security was a significant concern, particularly regarding the prevalence of fixed-term contracts within the public service and the potential impact of proposed large-scale public sector job cuts. The pay and working conditions of essential workers, including paramedics, nurses, teachers, and aged and childcare staff, were frequently discussed. Issues such as wage stagnation failing to keep pace with inflation, inadequate compensation for demanding roles (including unpaid practical placements), and the fight for fair working conditions like guaranteed meal breaks and reasonable hours were prominent. The role of unions and industrial action in advocating for improvements was noted, alongside discussions about which political parties most effectively represent the interests of workers.

10.1 Main Themes:

- **Worker Rights, Pay, and Conditions (Especially Essential/Public Sector)** (10 submissions): Focus on wage stagnation, job security (contracts, proposed cuts), conditions for essential workers (nurses, paramedics, teachers), and union roles.
- **Systemic Issues in Welfare Delivery and Funding (Bureaucracy, Funding Priorities, Charity Reliance)** (8 submissions): Frustration with Centrelink/CSA complexity, NDIS management concerns, funding trade-offs (e.g., vs. Medicare), and reliance on charities.
- **Inadequacy of Welfare Payments and Housing Stress** (6 submissions): Arguments that JobSeeker, DSP, Age Pension, and Rent Assistance are too low to cover living costs, especially housing, leading to hardship.
- **Childcare Affordability and Worker Compensation** (4 submissions): Highlighting the paradox of high childcare costs for families versus low wages for childcare workers, questioning private centre profits and subsidy models.

11 What Australian Redditors are thinking: Summary and Manifesto Comparison

The political discourse on Australian Reddit during the 2025 election campaign, as synthesized from these summaries, reveals a public deeply preoccupied with **economic anxiety** and the **cost of living crisis**, particularly the **unaffordability of housing** (both buying and renting). These issues permeate discussions across multiple themes, linking directly to economic policy debates (inflation, wages, tax, corporate profits), housing policy (supply, demand, regulation), immigration levels, and even energy costs.

Alongside these core economic concerns, there is a profound sense of **political disillusionment and cynicism**. This manifests as frustration with campaign tactics (negativity, spam, lack of substance), skepticism towards politicians' motives and integrity (donations, transparency, leadership styles – particularly directed at Peter Dutton), distrust of media narratives, and a general fatigue with the major parties and the political process itself. The significant volume of discussion categorised under 'OTHER' underscores the prominence of these meta-political concerns about the health and conduct of Australian democracy.

Immigration emerges as a highly contentious issue, frequently framed not just through a national security lens but primarily through its perceived impact on housing pressure, infrastructure strain, wage stagnation, and cultural identity. While defence spending (especially **AUKUS**) is debated, immediate community safety concerns regarding crime and the justice system's effectiveness also feature prominently.

Climate and energy policy remains a significant battleground, characterised by intense debate over the Coalition's nuclear proposal (met with widespread skepticism on cost and feasibility) versus the transition to renewables, all viewed through the lens of energy costs and environmental urgency. **Healthcare** concerns centre on the accessibility and affordability of core services, particularly the decline in Medicare bulk billing and the desire for expansion to dental and mental health. Issues like **welfare adequacy**, **worker rights/conditions**, **education funding/access**, and the need for **domestic manufacturing** are also present, though discussed with less volume than the dominant economic, housing, and political trust themes.

Comparison with Party Manifestos:

When contrasting these Reddit themes with the summarized manifestos of the ALP, LNP, and Greens, several alignments and disconnects appear:

- **Alignment:**
 - **Cost of Living:** All parties address this (tax cuts, energy relief, inflation focus), aligning with the top Reddit concern. However, Reddit discussions often express deeper frustration with root causes (corporate power, wage stagnation) than headline policies might suggest.
 - **Housing Supply:** ALP and LNP focus on building targets aligns with supply-side concerns on Reddit, while the Greens' focus on renters' rights and public housing resonates with affordability and rental stress discussions.
 - **Medicare:** All parties promise enhancements (bulk billing, GPs), reflecting Reddit concerns, though the Greens' push for dental/mental inclusion aligns strongly with specific user demands.
 - **Renewables:** ALP and Greens' focus aligns with Reddit support for renewables, contrasting with LNP's nuclear push which faces significant online skepticism.
 - **Education/Skills:** ALP/Greens focus on Free TAFE and student debt addresses affordability concerns raised on Reddit.
- **Disconnects & Emphasis Differences:**
 - **Depth of Cynicism/Trust:** Manifestos offer policy solutions, whereas Reddit discussions are saturated with distrust in the political process, leadership integrity, campaign conduct, and the influence of vested interests (lobbying, donations). This fundamental lack of trust is a major theme online but isn't directly addressed by manifesto promises.
 - **Immigration Intensity:** While the LNP manifesto mentions reducing migration (partially aligning with Reddit sentiment), the *intensity*, pervasiveness, and strong linkage to the housing crisis in Reddit discussions appear much stronger than reflected in the broad strokes of party platforms, particularly the ALP's. The cultural anxiety aspect is also more pronounced online.
 - **Supermarket Power:** The strong Reddit focus on regulating the supermarket duopoly (price gouging, anti-competitive behaviour) is addressed most directly by the Greens (breakup) and partially by the LNP (penalties), but the level of public anger online might exceed the policy emphasis from the major parties.
 - **Nuclear Feasibility/Motive:** The LNP's nuclear policy is a significant manifesto point, but on Reddit, it's met with overwhelming skepticism regarding cost, timeline, and perceived political motivation (delaying renewables), representing a major disconnect in reception.
 - **Welfare Adequacy:** While the Greens advocate for increased payments, the deep concern on Reddit about the inadequacy of base rates (JobSeeker, pensions) relative to living costs seems less central to the ALP/LNP manifestos compared to targeted relief measures.
 - **AUKUS Scrutiny:** The significant cost and strategic debate surrounding AUKUS found on Reddit seems less prominent as a specific, debatable policy point in the summarized manifestos, despite being a major national security commitment.

In conclusion, while party manifestos address key areas like cost of living, housing, and healthcare, the Reddit discourse reveals a deeper layer of economic anxiety, intense housing pressure, significant concern over immigration levels, and profound cynicism about the political system and its leaders. There's a potential disconnect between the solutions offered by parties and the perceived scale, root causes, and trustworthiness of those solutions as discussed by this segment of the online public.

11.1 What Changed: Week 4 vs Week 5

Comparing the analysis of Reddit political discussions between Week 4 and the final Week 5 reveals a consolidation of core anxieties alongside a marked intensification of political cynicism and scrutiny of campaign conduct, set against the backdrop of the campaign's closing stages and apparent LNP polling struggles.

Overall Theme Distribution Shifts:

The final week saw shifts that solidified the dominant narratives while reflecting the specific pressures and events of the campaign's end:

- **OTHER (Political Conduct, Cynicism, System):** Saw a notable *increase* (29% -> 32%), becoming even more dominant. This aligns strongly with the final week context – heightened scrutiny of leadership (particularly Dutton's "hate media" comments and perceived desperation), criticism of campaign tactics (spam, negativity, late costings), and pervasive voter disillusionment seemingly deepening as polling day approached.
- **Economic Policy:** Experienced a slight *increase* (16% -> 18%), regaining some ground lost between Week 3 and 4. This likely reflects the major parties' final pitches focusing heavily on economic management, cost of living relief (ALP's targeted measures like student debt relief), and LNP attacks on Labor's economic record, keeping these core concerns highly visible.
- **Housing & Infrastructure:** Remained *stable* (12% -> 12%), cementing its position as a critical, unwavering concern alongside the broader cost of living, but without the specific policy-driven spikes seen earlier. The enduring crisis narrative persisted.
- **National Security & Immigration:** Showed a *decrease* (14% -> 11%). While migration's link to housing pressure remained a strong sub-theme (as detailed in the Week 5 report), the overall prominence lessened. Perhaps the specific focus driven by Week 4's crime/defence announcements faded relative to the overwhelming economic and political conduct themes of the final week. AUKUS cost concerns persisted but may have been less immediate than final economic pitches.
- **Climate & Energy:** Showed a slight *decrease* (9% -> 8%). Skepticism towards the LNP's nuclear proposal remained a very strong feature in Week 5 discussions, but the relative volume dipped slightly, perhaps as no major new developments occurred compared to Week 4's EV policy reversal focus.
- **Social Services:** Saw a significant *decrease* (7% -> 3%). The boost from Labor's "Women's Package" announcement in Week 4 clearly faded, with discussion returning to a lower baseline focused on core issues like welfare adequacy and worker conditions.
- **Healthcare:** Showed a slight *decrease* (5% -> 4%), likely for similar reasons to Social Services – the specific focus driven by Week 4 announcements diminished.
- **Industry & Manufacturing:** Experienced a small *increase* (2% -> 4%), perhaps reflecting continued debate around "Future Made in Australia" and resource management.
- **Education & Skills:** Saw a slight *increase* (2% -> 3%), possibly linked to ALP's final push on student debt relief and ongoing debates about TAFE/skills.

Shift in Discussion Nuance:

- **Intensified Cynicism and Focus on Conduct ('OTHER'):** Week 5 saw the deep voter disillusionment noted previously morph into sharper critiques of the political process itself. Discussions heavily focused on negative campaign tactics (spam, corflutes), the perceived lack of substance, leadership styles (especially Dutton's, amplified by the "hate media" comment and poor polling context), and fundamental questions of integrity and transparency

(donations, disclosure failures). This theme consumed nearly a third of the discussion space, reflecting profound frustration.

- **Economic Anxiety Endures, Solutions Doubted:** While economic policy discussion increased slightly, driven by final pitches, the *tone* remained one of deep anxiety about the cost of living and housing. Critically, as noted in the Week 5 synthesis and context, there was pervasive cynicism about *any* party having adequate solutions, regardless of the specific promises being made (ALP's targeted relief vs. LNP's economic attacks). Focus on supermarket regulation also sharpened within this theme.
- **Housing as a Constant Crisis:** Housing remained central, but the discussion solidified around the *systemic crisis* (supply vs demand, rental stress, impact of migration) rather than fluctuating significantly based on specific new policies. The perceived lack of genuine political will to tackle the issue remained a strong undercurrent.
- **Nuclear Skepticism Hardens:** While the overall Climate/Energy theme dipped slightly, the discussion around the LNP's nuclear policy in Week 5 was characterised by deep and widespread skepticism regarding cost, feasibility, and perceived political motives, solidifying it as a major point of contention rather than a persuasive policy for this online cohort.

Conclusion:

The final week of the campaign saw Australian Reddit discourse solidify around the core issues of **economic hardship (Cost of Living, Housing)** and a significantly intensified **cynicism towards the political process and leadership ('OTHER')**. While parties made their final pitches, particularly on the economy, the overwhelming sentiment captured was one of deep-seated frustration, skepticism about proposed solutions, and a pointed critique of campaign conduct and political integrity, particularly directed towards the perceived struggling Opposition. Specific policy debates that had driven discussion in previous weeks (like the social services package) faded in prominence, leaving the fundamental anxieties about affordability and political trust dominating the final pre-election online conversation.

11.2 Acknowledgement

The Australian Internet Observatory¹ (<https://doi.org/10.3565/hjrp-b141>) is national research infrastructure supporting digital platform and smart data research. AIO received investment from the Australian Research Data Commons (ARDC) through the National Collaborative Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS)² in partnership with RMIT University, Queensland University of Technology, The University of Queensland, The University of Melbourne, Swinburne University of Technology and Deakin University.

¹<https://internetobservatory.org.au/>

²<https://www.education.gov.au/national-research-infrastructure>